arXiv:hep-ph/0010330v1 30 Oct 2000

CPT-2000/P.4072
hep-ph/0010330
October 2000

CHIRAL DYNAMICS IN THE ELECTROWEAK SECTOR*

A. NYFFELER
Centre de Physique Théorique, CNRS-Luminy, Case 907
F-13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France
E-mail: nyffeler@cpt.univ-mrs.fr

Using a chiral Lagrangian we show that strongly interacting models of electroweak symmetry breaking are not in conflict with precision data. Such models, like Technicolor, need not lead to a heavy Higgs-like signal. Furthermore, the allowed values for the low-energy constants in the effective Lagrangian, derived from bounds on the oblique correction parameters S, T, U, are not unnatural. Finally, we point out that there are some problems with gauge invariance, if one tries to relate the oblique parameters to the low-energy constants in the ordinary chiral Lagrangian for QCD of Gasser and Leutwyler. In particular, S cannot be identified with l_5^{GL}.

1 The electroweak chiral Lagrangian

If the electroweak (EW) symmetry is dynamically broken by some strongly interacting underlying theory, similarly to chiral symmetry breaking in QCD, and if there is a mass gap between the observed particles in the Standard Model (SM) and the scale of this underlying theory, one can construct an effective field theory in analogy to chiral perturbation theory. In the bosonic sector, the corresponding EW chiral Lagrangian is of the form $\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{L}_4 + \ldots$, where $\mathcal{L}_k$ is of order p^k. The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_2$ describes a gauged non-linear sigma model. $\mathcal{L}_4 = \sum_i a_i \mathcal{O}_i$, where the $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ gauge-invariant operators $\mathcal{O}_i$ are built from the light fields, i.e. the photon and the W- and Z-bosons [1,2]. The low-energy constants (LEC's) a_i parametrize different underlying theories.

2 Are strongly interacting models ruled out by precision data ?

a) Light Higgs: A heavy Higgs boson is excluded since all recent SM fits of precision data point to a low Higgs mass, $M_H < 170$ GeV at 95% C.L. However, it is not true that all strongly interacting theories lead to a heavy Higgs-like signal. As shown in Table 1, the pattern of LEC's a_i for a heavy Higgs boson differs from the one in a simple Technicolor model, estimated using VMD [3].

Table 1: Non-vanishing, renormalized LEC's $a_i^r(\mu = M_Z)$ for the SM with $M_H = 1$ TeV and for a two-flavor, QCD-like Technicolor (TC) model with a Technirho mass of 2 TeV.

	$10^3 \times a_0$	$10^3 \times a_1$	$10^3 \times a_2$	$10^3 \times a_3$	$10^3 \times a_4$	$10^3 \times a_5$
SM	-11.8	-2.6	-0.8	0.8	1.6	8.9
TC	-14.7	-8.9	-5.4	5.4	5.2	-0.3

*Presented at the 3rd Workshop on Chiral Dynamics – Chiral Dynamics 2000: Theory and Experiment, Newport News, VA, USA, 17-22 July 2000. To be published in the Proceedings.

b) S-parameter: The oblique correction parameters S, T, U describe effects of new physics *beyond* the SM that enter the self-energies of EW gauge bosons [4]. The PDG [5] quotes the value $S = -0.16 \pm 0.11$ for $M_H = 300$ GeV, whereas estimates for Technicolor models lead to $S \approx \mathcal{O}(1)$. The parameters S, T, U can be related [6] to the LEC's a_i, e.g., $S = 16\pi\left[-a_1(\mu) + \text{EWChL loops}(\mu)\right]$. Interpreting the PDG values as bounds on the deviations from the SM, $\Delta S \equiv S - S_{SM}(M_H)$, one obtains with the values for the a_i for a heavy Higgs boson:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta S &= 16\pi\left[-a_1(\mu) - \tfrac{1}{12}\tfrac{(1/6)+\ln(M_H^2/\mu^2)}{16\pi^2}\right] &&\Rightarrow 10^3 \times a_1(M_Z) = 1.8 \pm 2.2\ , \\
\Delta T &= \tfrac{8\pi}{c_W^2}\left[a_0(\mu) + \tfrac{3}{8}\tfrac{(1/6)+\ln(M_H^2/\mu^2)}{16\pi^2}\right] &&\Rightarrow 10^3 \times a_0(M_Z) = -6.4 \pm 4.3\ , \\
\Delta U &= 16\pi a_8 &&\Rightarrow 10^3 \times a_8(M_Z) = 2.4 \pm 3.0\ .
\end{aligned}$$

Although this rules out QCD-like Technicolor models, mainly from a_1, the size of the a_i is not unnatural for strongly interacting theories, see also Refs. [6,7].

3 $S \neq l_5^{GL}$ or the issue of gauge invariance

There are some subtle problems with gauge invariance [2], if one tries to relate S to a_1 and a_1 to l_5^{GL} in the ordinary chiral Lagrangian [8]. There is a *qualitative* difference to ChPT because the gauge fields in the EW chiral Lagrangian are dynamical. Using the equations of motion for the gauge fields one can in fact remove the operators corresponding to a_1 and a_8 from the basis [2]. Therefore, one cannot simply map estimates for the LEC's l_i^{GL} from QCD or from models into the LEC's a_i without performing a complete matching calculation.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank A. Schenk for the collaboration on some of the topics presented here. This work was supported by Schweizerischer Nationalfonds.

References

1. A. C. Longhitano, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 1166 (1980); Nucl. Phys. **B188**, 118 (1981); T. Appelquist and G.-H. Wu, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 3235 (1993).
2. A. Nyffeler and A. Schenk, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 113006 (2000).
3. A. Nyffeler, Linear Collider Note, LC-TH-1999-018, hep-ph/9912472.
4. M. E. Peskin and T. Takeuchi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 964 (1990); Phys. Rev. D **46**, 381 (1992).
5. D.E. Groom *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Eur. Phys. J. **C15**, 1 (2000).
6. A. Dobado *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 055011 (2000).
7. J.A. Bagger, A.F. Falk, and M. Swartz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 1385 (2000).
8. J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **158**, 142 (1984).